	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/603,960	CROOKHAM ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	KIET DOAN	2617
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>KIET DOAN</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mark Hansing.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 December 2009	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Trajodion(a) dioddodd.		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(A _j	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/603,960

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Applicant's representative indicated on the remarks "Overview" section that "pursuant to a phone call from the Examiner, the present supplemental paper is filed to make changes suggested by Examiner to place the application in form for allowance" and for the record, the examiners was make a suggestion (leave messages to Mr. Mark Hansing) on 12/23/2009. Claim 1 have been amended as the suggestion, therefore application is in condition for allowance.